MULTI METHOD ANALYSIS FOR IDENTIFYING THE SHORELINE EROSION DURING NORTHEAST MONSOON SEASON

SITI NORSAKINAH SELAMAT¹, KHAIRUL NIZAM ABDUL MAULUD^{1,2*}, FAZLY AMRI MOHD², ABDUL AZIZ AB RAHMAN¹, MOHD KHAIRUL ZAINAL¹, MUHAMMAD AQIFF ABDUL WAHID¹, MAYA LIYANA HAMZAH³, EFFI HELMY ARIFFIN^{4,5} ANDNOR ASLINDA AWANG⁶

¹Institute of Climate Change, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, 43600, Malaysia. ²Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, 43600, Malaysia. ³Institute for Environment and Development (LESTARI), Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi, 43600, Selangor,

Malaysia.

⁴School of Marine and Environmental Sciences, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030, Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia.

⁵Institute of Oceanography and Environment, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, 21030, Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia. ⁶Coastal Management & Oceanography Research Centre, National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM),Ministry of Natural Resources & Environment (NRE), Selangor, Malaysia.

*Corresponding author: knam@ukm.edu.my

Abstract: The occurrences of natural hazard is increasing in frequency and brings with it various impacts on coastal areas, such as coastal erosion along the shoreline of Malaysia. The study of National Coastal Erosion Study (NCES) on 2015 revealed that 8840 km of shoreline in Malaysia with 1,347.6 km were subjected to erosion. The present study selected seven locations in Kuala Terengganu since Terengganu is exposed to the northeast monsoon which could potentially cause coastal erosion. In order to identify the changes in the shoreline of the selected study areas, 2014SPOT-5 and 2016 WorldView-3 images were processed using ArcGIS software to determine the shoreline changes and to categorise the erosion which has occurred. Results show that the erosion in Zone B is more dynamic compared to that in Zone A, as shown by the highest rate8.04% of erosion from Pantai Rhu extending to Pantai Marang. Erosion in Pantai Batu Buruk and Kuala Ibai, respectively with Zone A dominated by the anthropogenic and natural factors, while Zone B dominantly by natural factor only. Therefore, finding an empirical study of analyse the shoreline erosion in Malaysian monsoon environment might provide valuable information for sustainability coastal management.

Keywords: Coastal erosion, geospatial, Kuala Terengganu, monsoon, wave modelling

Introduction

Shoreline is defined as the line where land and water surface meet at a particular elevation (Fazly Amri Mohd et al., 2018; Misra & Balaji, 2015; O'Carroll 2010). In fact, Cooper & Pilkey (2004) contended that shoreline continuously change its shape and position due to dynamic environmental conditions; they also asserted that shoreline can also be categorized as the most crucial component in research which involve sea level rise, shore protection, tidal inundation, land subsidence, and erosion sedimentation process. These physicals processes contribute to shoreline change and formation of coastal landscape. Furthermore, the diverse development project carried out close to or around the shoreline area exert great pressure on the area and could lead to various coastal hazards such as soil erosion, sea water intrusion, coral bleaching, and altered shorelines (Kuleli et al., 2011; Jaafar et al., 2016). As the level of the world ocean rises, low-lying coastal area could potentially disappear and coastal areas could experience frequent flooding (Mohd et al., 2018). Sarkar (2014) and Mohd et al., (2018) contended that the occurrences of extreme high water events that are related to storm surges, high tides, surfaces waves, and flooding rivers will also increase in frequency. This increase, which is brought about

monsoon changes, is sufficient to cause global sea level rise and have a profound impact on developing countries such as Malaysia.

In the Peninsular Malaysia, the east coast (especially Terengganu) is more exposed to climate change which will affect the livelihood of the communities and cause damage to the infrastructure in comparison to the areas in the west coast (Muhammad et al., 2016). Mohd Fadzil Mohd Akhir & Chuen, (2011) and Ariffin et al., (2018) mentioned that the east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, which faces the South China Sea, is exposed with the northeast monsoon storm which is prone to cause coastal erosion. This assertion is supported by the findings of studies conducted by Brijker et al., (2007) and Oppo et al., (2009). They found that since the central region of Terengganu experiences the Asian monsoon system, this area holds important geological records and is able to provide an understanding of monsoon variation with time and the impact of changes in coastal systems (Adiana et al., 2011; Mohd Fadzil Mohd Akhir & Chuen, 2011).

Recently, several approaches for mapping shorelines and detecting changes have been utilized; for instance, traditional shoreline mapping in small areas is done by using conventional field survey method. However, conventional methods such as aerial photo and ground survey are costly and require trained staff, in addition to being time consuming.

Hence, other options, such as remote sensing and Geographic Information System (GIS), have been widely used to improve conventional methods (Shin & Kim 2015). These methods have the benefit of being able to provide high resolution images which could then be used with high spatial resolution satellite imaging systems such as Ikonos and Quickbird to produce stereo images. Tetuko and Sumantyo (2017) and Devi *et al.*, (2015) stated that several satellite remote sensing data has provided real data which can be used to monitor coastal resources.

This study will also attempt to analyse the potential of using in geospatial technique for determining shoreline erosion by utilizing GIS and Remote Sensing. By the end of this study, a map will be produced which depicts the determined erosion categories.

Study Area

The study areas in this research are located in the east coast of Malaysia along the Kuala Terengganu coastline. The length of Kuala Terengganu coastline is about 33 km. The study area as shown on Figure 1 is divided into two zones: Zone A comprises of Pantai Batu Buruk, which is close to jetty breakwater, Kuala Ibai, which is located in the river mouth area, and Pantai Chendering, which is located near the headland. Zone B includes the areas of Pantai Ru close to the port, Pantai Rusila, and Pantai Marang which is close to the jetty breakwater. These two zones were selected based on the type of land use which urban and development in that area. Helmy et al., (2018) stated Kuala Terengganu's main town is located along this coastline to the southeast of Terengganu River and various construction developments have taken place including hotels, schools, residences, hospitals, recreation areas and Sultan's castles located along the coast.



Figure 1: Study Area of Kuala Terengganu until Marang coastal

Materials and Methods

In this study, the ranking of erosion determined by using the K1, K2 and K3 formula which are based on certain physical parameters, such as length of eroded shoreline, rate of shoreline change, physical erosion score, land use, building, utilities, and facilities which have been collected along the coastline. A comprehensive study done by National Coastal Erosion Study (NCES) 2015 determined the erosion category using physical and economic parameters formula. Therefore, it may be argued that erosion will increase if both parameters are high; all calculations can be made by referring to Table 1.

Table 1: Derivation	of formula for	category of erosion
---------------------	----------------	---------------------

No.	Parameters	Formulas
		This length can be measured by refer to the satellite image that had been digitized using ArcGIS.
1.	Length of eroded shoreline (m)	The length refers to the eroded shoreline area from one point to another point.
2.	Erosion Rate (m/year)	This value also can be obtained by referring to the attribute table i ArcGIS. Erosion rate = Width of the Coast / Range Per Year
3.	Physical Erosion Score	Relate with the erosion rate.
4.	Land use, Building, Utilities and Facilities	This data was collected by the physical observation during site visit.
5.	Economic Score	The total up of scoring from land use, building, utilities and facilities with the weightage given.
6.	Total Score	The value relates with the multiplying of Physical Erosion score and Economic Score. Total score = Physical Erosion Score x Economics Score

Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID) develop and divided the erosion into three categories, namely critical (K1), significant (K2), and acceptable (K3) as below (Department of Irrigation & Drainage 2015);

i. K1 (Critical Erosion): Fast retreating coastline at a rate of more than 4m/year in areas with fairly dense human settlement, some commercial/industrial activities, and served by significant public infrastructure and facilities.

ii. K2 (Significant Erosion): Retreating coastline at a rate of between 1 and 3.9m/year with small occupied areas, some agricultural activities, and served by relatively minor public infrastructure and facilities.

iii. K3 (Acceptable Erosion): Slowly retreating coastline of less than 1m/year in areas with

no human settlement, minimal agricultural activities, and not served by public infrastructure and facilities.

This study used GIS technique to determine shoreline changes. The estimation of wave pattern in the Kuala Terengganu area done using MIKE-21. It will explain all the processes involved in this study up to the production of the Kuala Terengganu coastline map which depicts the level of erosion. This study found that two types of images were used in 2014 and 2016, namely SPOT-5 and WorldView-3 as shown in Table 2.The purpose of selecting satellite images in this study was to obtain the shoreline position using digitizing approach in order to further determine the rate of shoreline changes along Kuala Terengganu's coast.

No	Type of Satellite	Electromagnetic Spectrum	Resolution Image
		4 Multispectral:	
1	SPOT 5	B1: 0.5 – 0.59 μm	10 m X 10 m
		B2: $0.61 - 0.68 \ \mu m$	10 m X 10 m
		B3: 0.78 – 0.89 μm	10 m X 10 m
		SWIR: $0.5 - 0.59 \ \mu m$	20 m X 20 m
		Panchromatic:	
		$0.51 - 0.78 \mu m$	5 m / 2.5 m
		8 Multispectral:	
2	WorldView-3	Coastal: 400 - 450 nm	
		Blue: 450 - 510 nm	
		Green: 510 - 580 nm	
		Yellow: 585 - 625 nm	1.24 m
		Red: 630 - 690 nm	
		Red Edge: 705 - 745 nm	
		Near-IR1: 770 - 895 nm	
		Near-IR2: 860 - 1040 nm	
		Panchromatic:	31 cm
		450 - 800 nm	

Table 2: Description of the satellites used (Kruse & Perry, 2013; Zhang et al., 2013)

Image Processing

The raw images have to go been through several processes before they are qualified for use in identifying the shoreline of Kuala Terengganu. The steps involved are geometric correction, resolution merge, pixel size resampling, and image mosaic. Geometric correction is a process registering spatial coordinates on satellite images according to actual position. This image has been encountered a geomatics correction process with distributed ground control point (GCP) all over the image to give the best coverage. Meanwhile, root-mean square error (RMSE) for each image were maintained below a pixel resolution value. This study used Rectified Skew Orthomorphic (RSO) projection as a reference system. Resolution merge was used to merge high spatial resolution image with a multispectral image to produce a better contrast and high quality output.

Next, the image mosaic process was carried out to combine multiple images into a single or tiled image. The metadata of these images was also changed in the combining process. Pixel size resampling was done since this project uses different types of satellite images, namely SPOT 5 and WorldView-3. This process will resample the image pixel into a different pixel resolution without altering the coordinate system. In this study, the multispectral SPOT 5 imagery was resampled to higher resolution by referring to the WorldView-3 image. As a result, the spatial resolution of SPOT 5 images was produced much finer resolution and same spatial resolution of WorldView-3 images i.e. 0.31 m.

Extraction of Shoreline Changes

This process was done to convert from analogue to digital format. The shoreline was digitized along the coastline by using the ArcGIS software. Shoreline is the line where the land and water meets. Determination of shoreline position was categories as a difficult things because shoreline is very subjective due to different perspective. This study used permanent structure and agricultural area as a boundary mark between terrestrial and oceans. Hwang (1981), and Hoeke et al., (2001) also using the agricultural line as a border line for determination of shoreline in their study. Two (2) lines of the Terengganu shoreline were produced in the shape file format. Each line will have attributes and contains relevant information. Two (2) lines of the Terengganu shoreline were produced in the shape file format. Each line will have attributes and contains relevant information.

The attribute table defines the characteristic of the data, which were used to identify the conditions for erosion or accretion; six (6) types of data characteristics should be added in the attribute table in order to obtain the erosion rate along the coast, namely, length of erosion or accretion, area, width, range per year, and erosion.

Observation of erosion via qualitative analysis

A basic interview involved to get information from the coastal communities as a qualitative approach. In order to identify the public awareness and perception of shoreline changes and its impact among coastal communities, two way communication interview were conducted. Thus, a total 150 respondents were involved in this interviewed session by randomly selected. According to McNamara (1999), interviews are particularly useful for getting the story behind a participant's experiences. The interviewed was conducted to respondents who live within 1 km from the coastal area.

Wave simulation

To support the case of erosion, a wave model was generated using the MIKE21 (DHI 2011) to estimate wave pattern during the northeast monsoon for the years 2014 and 2016. The wave modelling process consists of mesh grid based on C-Map bathymetry input with an average depth ranging from -0.2 m near the coast to approximately -60.0 m offshore. However, the water level forcing for the three open boundaries, i.e. boundary 2 (south), boundary 3 (east), and boundary 4 (north), were specified based on global tide model prediction provided in MIKE21 (Awang *et al.*, 2014).

The wave hydrodynamic model was forced by a series of wind data extracted from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (2017) source offshore. The data of wind inshore was extracted from the Spectral Wave Flexible Mesh (SW) program. SW was calibrated by testing the influence of bottom friction and its influence on wave breaking in comparison to *in-situ* data between the periods

Results and Discussion

This section will be discussed the results based on two (2) sections which are erosion losses and cause of erosion and Physical factors responsible for coastal erosion.

Erosion losses and cause of erosion

Table3 shows the values and percentages of erosion and accretion along the Kuala Terengganu shoreline from 2014 to 2016. The rate of erosion in the study areas ranges from 9.00 to 28.00 meter per year, while the rate of accretion varies from 10.00 to 32.00 meter per year.

Location	Length of shoreline (km)	Rate (m/year)		Percenta	Percentage (%)	
		Accretion	Erosion	Accretion	Erosion	
Zone A						
Pantai Batu Buruk	2.39	31.20	15.90	1.31	0.67	
Kuala Ibai	2.28	20.86	20.70	0.91	0.91	
Pantai Chendering	2.30	23.20	11.20	1.00	0.49	
Zone B						
Pantai Rhu	1.54	10.60	10.50	0.69	0.68	
Pantai Rusila	2.32	16.60	27.80	0.72	1.20	
Pantai Marang	1.62	21.40	12.80	1.32	0.79	
TOTAL	13.99	136.76	108.60	6.79	5.37	

The highest rate of erosion occurred in Pantai Rusila, Kuala Ibai, and Pantai Batu Buruk at a rate of 15.90 to 27.80 meter per year. The highest rate of accretion occurred in Kuala Ibai, Pantai Marang, Pantai Chendering, and Pantai Batu Buruk at a rate of between 20.86 and 31.20 meter per year. This proves that sandy beaches along this study area are more vulnerable to erosion, which is at a moderate level. Table 3 shows the overall percentage of erosion based on the K1 to K3 erosion categories along the Kuala Terengganu coastal area. All categories were determined based on the guidelines provided by the Department of Irrigation and Drainage (DID). Based on the tabulated data, two (2) categories of erosion, K1 and K2, were observed in Pantai Batu Buruk, Kuala Ibai and Pantai Rhu. One (a) category of erosion was observed in both Pantai Chendering and Pantai Rusila; the erosion in Pantai Rusila is categorized as K1, while the erosion in Pantai Chendering is categorised as K2. Two (2) categories of erosion, K2 and K3, were observed in Pantai Marang as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Overall percentage of erosion level				
	Length of Erosion Level (m)			
Location	Length of Shoreline (m)	K1	K2	K3
Zone A				
Pantai Batu Buruk	3,930	2,979.80	950.20	-
Kuala Ibai	2,280	1,421.77	858.22	-
Pantai Chendering				
	2,300	-	2,300.00	-
Zone B				
Pantai Rhu	1,540	898.40	641.59	-
Pantai Rusila	2,320	2320.00	-	-
Pantai Marang	1,620	-	508.30	1,111.70
TOTAL	13,990	7,619.98	5,258.30	1,111.70
Overall Percentage		54.46%	37.58%	7.95%

Physical factors responsible for coastal erosion

According to the Terengganu Economic Planning Unit, the population in Zone A is growing rapidly (as a city centre) with many comprehensive development such as government buildings, hospitals, and residential areas. Zone B is an area resided predominantly by fishermen. Majority of the coastal communities stated that erosion occurs during the northeast monsoon. As a result, third quarter of the communities are aware about the coastal erosion.

On the other hand, there are two conflict opinions with regard to the factors causing erosion. In Zone A, respondents stated that the anthropogenic factor causing erosion is the jetty breakwater in the Terengganu River and Chendering Harbour. They also stated that a natural dynamic can be observed in the Kuala Ibai river mouth. In fact, most respondents stated that the coastline in Zone B is eroded due to natural phenomena.

On Figure 2, wave modelling shows that wave propagation from Kapas Island to the shoreline in Zone B, especially in Pantai Rusila and Pantai Marang, is changing. A significant wave height was observed in Pantai Rusila, which subsides in Pantai Marang. A general observation shows that wind speed in 2014 blew predominantly to the coastline with strong (H_s) being observed along the coastlines. The pattern of wave distribution is similar although the wave in Zone A is stronger than that in to Zone B.



Figure 2: Significant wave height (H_s) modelling comparisons during northeast monsoon; 2014 (left) and 2016 (right)

Some areas along the Terengganu shoreline are experiencing significant changes are caused by several different factors, such as wave, monsoon, wind, tidal inundation, sea level rise, and human activities along the shoreline (Shaffril *et al.*, 2011). These findings are congruous with that of Husain & Yaakob (1995); the results of their research show that certain section of the Terengganu coastal area is undergoing severe erosion.

A study by the National Coastal Erosion Study (NCES) in 1985 foundthat the critical areas undergoing erosion are those close to the Terengganu estuary and Chendering (Unit Perancang Ekonomi, 1985). This due to the natural dynamic morphology of the Terengganu estuary, while Chendering beach is located between headland and harbour which produce higher energy, especially in the form of wave and current (Jeofry & Rozainah, 2013). According to Tonyes et al., (2015), sand can be eroded or accreted by the complex tidal current in the harbour and near the headlands. The complex bathymetry and tidal currents in the harbour create a complex circulation near the headlands and in the embayment, which regulate the formation of sandbank in the area.

The east coast of Peninsular Malaysia receives maximum rainfall during the northeast monsoon (Suhaila *et al.*, 2010; C. L. Wong *et al.*, 2016; P. P. Wong, 1981). However, the sediments found on the beach is dependent upon the nature of the waves. Ariffin *et al.*, (2018) has shown that the study area is exposed to wave attacks from the South of China Sea. Futhermore, the strong wind during the northeast monsoon brings storm with strong wave (Ariffin *et al.*, 2018).

Erosion usually occurs during the northeast monsoon, meanwhile accretions happen during the southwest monsoon (Wong, 1981). The wind during the northeast monsoon season is strong enough to blow coarse materials inland. The east coast of Peninsular Malaysia, especially Terengganu, receives maximum rainfall during the northeast monsoon (Ariffin *et al.*, 2018; Wong 1981). The distribution of highest rainfall can cause flooding in the coastal valley and areas close to the river mouth (Vousdoukas *et al.*, 2012).

Figure 3 Zone A (Left) shows that Zone A undergoes rapid erosion, especially in Pantai Batu Buruk. Kuala Terengganu district is separated into the northern and southern regions, which are separated by the Terengganu river. The city of Kuala Terengganu is placed along this shoreline to the southeast of Terengganu river and many construction developments have taken place which include hotels, infrastructural features and the Sultan's palace which are situated along the coast (Helmy *et al.*, 2018).

The erosion problem occur at Zone Adue to the high energy circulation which is produced by the jetty breakwater constructed atKuala Terengganu of river mouth. According to a study conducted byPattiaratchi *et al.*, (2009), coastal structurescan produce high energy with eddies and shorelines may retreat.



Figure 3: Erosion and accretion level at Zone A

This finding is consistent with that made by Rosnan *et al.*, (1994), which the Kuala Terengganu town is located along this shoreline. The feedback from the interview survey shows that most of the study area has been undergoing erosion due to the many development projects taking place along the coastline. Additionally, natural hazard can cause erosion and accretion, especially around river mouth areas with natural dynamic impact; one instance of this is Pantai Kuala Ibai (Patsch & Griggs, 2008; Pranzini *et al.*, 2013; Sudha Rani *et al.*, 2015) as shown on Figure 4. Helmy *et al.*, 2018 had mentioned that only a few coastal for example, the Batu Buruk

coast and Kuala Ibai coast along of this shoreline are more stable compared to Northern of Kuala Terengganu shoreline caused by attraction tourist area that has undergone common compacting of the sand on the beach for the purpose of mitigation.



Figure 4: Shoreline changes at Kuala Ibai shoreline on years 2006 and 2014

All three categories of erosion was observed to occur in Pantai Chendering in the Marang area shown on Figure 5. The findings also show that Pantai Rusila undergoes a high rate of erosion compared to Pantai Ru and Marang. The geomorpholgy of Pantai Rusila is located directly facing the open South China Sea without any protective coastal structure, headland or island. Hence this area recieves a severe impact from natural hazard such as northeat monsoon storm. Wave propagation in this area increases from the island and the Chendering harbour to the coastline.However, Pantai Marang has a low erosion impactsince it is protected by Kapas Island. These findings are congruous with that made by De Falco *et al.*, (2016), which show that the area directly opposite the island is sheltered by shallow areas and changing wave parameters.



Figure 5: Shoreline changes at Kuala Ibai shoreline on years 2006 and 2014

It is crucial to establish the connection between natural hazard and anthropogenic factors that brought about the changes along shorelines. This will provide an understanding of the negative impact of past coastal development and how the sand brought from nearby rivers by monsoon affect the dynamic equilibrium process. According to Mohd Nadzir *et al.*, (2014), the coastal zone is rich with resources that can support the country's economic growth. They emphasised the importance of recognizing sustainable development, sensitivity of coastal processes, and the environment.

Community's Perception on Coastal Erosion Issue in Terengganu

Based on the questionnaire survey conducted to 150 respondents, 120 respondents (80%) responded to 'yes' to the question on the existence of issues of coastal erosion occurring along the Terengganu coastline and only 30 respondents (20%) of respondents gave the opposite view as shown on Figure 6.



Figure 6: Respondents' perception on the existence of coastal erosion in Pahang

In terms of severity, this question was dedicated to them based on their observation on living near the affected area. The result shows that, 85% said that the shoreline in Kuala Terengganu to Marang was seriously affected, followed by moderately affected with 10%. Only 5% responded with not affected. This shows that the community living by the sea could aware of the coastal area was suffering from erosion.

Besides, the verification assessment of this study was done by National Coastal Vulnerability

Index Study (NCVI) 2015 which mentioned and proved that these area especially Pantai Batu Buruk, Pantai Kuala Ibai and Pantai Rusila were classified as K1 and K2 category based on the threat caused to the existing shore based facilities of substantial economic value Figure 7 indicates the severity level of coastal erosion in Zone A and Zone B based on the questionnaire survey conducted.



Figure 7: Categories of affected area due to coastal erosion in Pahang.

Conclusion

The present study has shown how physicals processes and other factors could have a profound impact in changing the shoreline of Terengganu. The categories of erosion in this study show the level of erosion which occur in two different zones. Zone A can be described as the shoreline impacted by anthropogenic activities and natural hazard, while the Zone B is predominantly impacted by natural hazard. Even though other factors have a slow onset in contributing to shoreline erosion and accretion, they should not be ignored due to their long term consequences. Mitigation measures need to be taken which takes into consideration the uncertainty of erosion and other factors. Hence, there is also a need to link adaptation with risk, uncertainty, and vulnerability. The findings of the present study outline the critical areas and provide guidelines to agencies planning mitigation Government and non-government methods. organizations need to play a prominent role in developing well-designed adaptation measures to prevent erosion before it has a negative impact on communities living close to the coastline.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the research grants of Trans Disciplinary Research Grant Scheme (TRGS/1/2015/UKM/02/5/3) and Research University Grant (AP-2015-009). The authors gratefully acknowledge to the Earth Observation Centre, Institute of Climate Change, UKM for sharing the satellite data. Thanks are also due to National Hydraulic Research Institute of Malaysia (NAHRIM) provided the Physical Modelling Laboratory.

References

- Adiana, G., N. A.M. Shazili, and M. M. Ariffin. (2011). Cadmium, Manganese and Lead Distribution in the South China Sea off the South Terengganu Coast, Malaysia during Post-Monsoon and Pre-Monsoon. Journal of Sustainability Science and Management, 6(2), 181–92.
- Ariffin, E. H., Sedrati, M. Akhir, M. F., Yaacob, R., and Husain, M. L. (2018). Beach morphodynamic and evolution of monsoon dominated coasts in Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia: for integrated Perspectives Management. Ocean and Coastal Management, 163, 498-514.
- Awang, N. A., Jusoh, W. H. W., and Hamid, M. R. A. (2014). Coastal erosion at Tanjong Piai, Johor, Malaysia. *Journal of Coastal Research*, 71, 122–130.

- Brijker, J. M., Jung, S. J. A., Ganssen, G. M., Bickert, T., and Kroon, D. (2007). ENSO related decadal scale climate variability from the Indo-Pacific Warm Pool. *Earth Planet*, 253, 67-82.
- Cooper, J. A. G., Pilkey, O. H., (2004). Sea-level rise and shoreline retreat: Time to abandon the bruun rule. *Global and Planetary Change*, 43(3-4), 157–171.
- Devi, G. K.; Ganasri, B. P., and Dwarakish, G. S. (2015) Applications of remote sensing in satellite oceanography: a review. *Aquatic Procedia*, 4, 579–584.
- Denmark Hydraulic Institute. (2011). Mike-Hydrodynamic Module User Guide. 89pp.
- De Falco, G., Simeone, S., Quattrocchi, G., Palombo, L., and Cucco, A. (2016). Beaches morphological variability along a complex coastline (sinis peninsula, western mediterranean sea). *Journal of Coastal Research*, 75(75), 1302–1306.
- Department of Irrigation and Drainge. (2015). National Coastal Erosion Study. Retrieved from http://nces.water.gov.my/nces/About, 17 July 2018.
- Fazly Amri Mohd, Khairul Nizam Abdul Maulud, Rawshan Ara Begum, Siti Norsakinah Selamat, & Othman A. Karim. (2018). Impact of Shoreline Changes to Pahang Coastal Area by Using Geospatial Technology. Sains Malaysiana, 47(5), 991–997.
- Fazly Amri Mohd, Khairul Nizam Abdul Maulud, Othman A. Karim, Rawshan Ara Begum, Md Firoz Khan, Wan Shafrina Wan Mohd Jaafar, Sharifah Mastura Syed Abdullah, Mohd Ekhwan Toriman, Mohd Khairul Amri Kamarudin, Muhammad Barzani Gasim, Noorjima Abd Wahab (2018). An Assessment of Coastal Vulnerability of Pahang 's Coast Due to Sea Level Rise. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7, 176–80.
- Fazly Amri Mohd, Khairul Nizam Abdul Maulud, Rawshan Ara Begum, Othman A. Karim, Md Firoz Khan, Siti Norsakinah Selamat, Abdul Aziz Ab Rahman, Mohd Aizat Saiful Bahri, Sharifah Mastura Syed Abdullah, Mohd Khairul Amri Kamarudin, Muhammad Barzani Gasim, and Hafizan Juahir. (2018). Variation of Beach Profile Along Pahang Coast in Malaysia. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 7, 196–201.

- Helmy, E., Sedrati, M., Fadzil, M. & Rabitah, N. (2018). Beach morphodynamics and evolution of monsoon-dominated coasts in Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia: Perspectives for integrated management. Ocean and Coastal Management 163(October), 498–514. doi:10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2018.07.013.
- Husain, M. L. and Yaakob, R.(1995). Beach erosion variability during a northeast monsoon: The Kuala Setiu. *Science and Technology*, *3*(2), 337–348.
- Hoeke, R. K., Gary A, Z., & Synder, M. (2001). A GIS Based Tool for Extracting Shoreline Positions from Aerial Imagery (BeachTools). Defense Technical Information Center.15pp.
- Hwang, D. (1981). *Beach changes on Oahu as revealed by aerial photographs*. Hawaii Coastal Zone Management Program, Dept. of Planning and Economic Development, Hawaii, 22pp.
- Jaafar, S. N.; Yusoff, M. M., and Ghaffar, F. A.(2016). Coastal erosion threat and the adaptation of coastal communities in Malaysia: a case study of Kampung Kemeruk, Kota Bharu, Kelantan. *Malaysia Journal of Society and Space*, 10, 145–158.
- Jeofry, M. H., and M. Z. Rozainah. (2013). General observations about rising sea levels in peninsular Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Science*, 32, 363–70.
- Kuleli, T. Guneroglu, A. Karsli, F., and Dihkan, M. (2011). Automatic detection of shoreline changes on coastal Ramsar wetlands of Turkey. *Ocean Engineering*, 38(10), 1141–1149.
- Kruse, Fred A., and Sandra L. Perry. (2013) Mineral Mapping Using Simulated Worldview-3 Short-Wave-Infrared Imagery. *Remote Sensing*, 5(6), 2688–2703.
- Madihah Mohd Azhar, Khairul Nizam Abdul Maulud, Siti Norsakinah Selamat, Md Firoz Khan, Othman Jaafar, Wan Shafrina Wan Mohd Jaafar, Sharifah Mastura Syed Abdullah, Mohd Ekhwan Toriman, Mohd Khairul Amri Kamarudin, Muhammad Barzani Gasim, dan Hafizan Juahir (2018). Impact of Shoreline Changes to the Coastal Development. International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7, 191–95.
- Misra, A. and Balaji, R. (2015). A study on the shoreline changes and land-use / land-cover along the South Gujarat coastline, *Procedia Engineering*, *116*, 381–389.

- Mohd Fadzil Mohd Akhir, and Yong Jaw Chuen. (2011). Seasonal Variation of Water Characteristics during Inter-Monsoon along the East Coast of Johor. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 6(2), 206–14.
- Mohd Nadzir, N., Ibrahim, M., and Mansor, M. (2014). Science direct impacts of coastal reclamation to the quality of life: Tanjung Tokong community, Penang. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *153*, 159–168.
- McNamara, C. (1999) General Guidelines for Conducting Interviews. Retrieved from http://www.managementhelp.org/evaluatn/intrvi ew.htm, 27 July 2018.
- Muhammad, M., Idris, K., Ariffin, E. H., Mohamed Shaffril, H. A., Abu Samah, B., and Suandi, T.(2016)The impact of climate change on smallscale fisherman in Malaysia. *The Social Sciences*, 11(13), 3352–3356.
- O'Carroll, S.(2010)Coastal erosion and shoreline classification in Stratford Prince Edward Island. Retrieved from www.atlanticadaptation.ca, 28 February 2018.
- Oppo, D. W., Rosenthal, Y., and Linsley, B. K.(2009)2000-year-long te,perature and hydrology reconstructions from the Indo-Pacific Warm Pool. *Nature*, *46*, 1113-1116.
- Patsch, K. and Griggs, G.(2008)A sand budget for the Santa Barbara Littoral Cell, California. *Marine Geology*, 252, 50–61.
- Pattiaratchi, C., Olsson, D., Hetzel, Y., and Lowe, R. (2009)Wave-driven circulation patterns in the lee of groynes. *Continental Shelf Research*, 29(16), 1961–1974.
- Pranzini, E., Rosas, V., Jackson, N. L., and Nordstrom, K. F.(2013)Beach changes from sediment delivered by streams to pocket beaches during a major flood. *Geomorphology*, 199, 36–47.
- Rosnan, Y., Mohd Lokman, H., and Tajuddin, A.(1994)Variation of beach sand in relation to littoral drift direction along the Kuala Terengganu coast. *Geological Society Malaysia Bulletin*, 38, 71–78.
- Sarkar, M. S. K., Begum, R. A., Pereira, J. J., Jaafar, A. H., and Saari, M. Y.(2014) Impacts of and adaptations to sea level rise in Malaysia, 11(2), 29–36.

- Shin, B. and Kim, K.(2015)Estimation of shoreline change using high resolution images, *Procedia Engineering*, *116*, 994–1001.
- Shaffril, H. A. M., Bahaman, A. S., Jeffrey, L. D., and Jegak, Uli.(2011)Global warming at the east coast zone of Peninsular Malaysia. *American Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 6(3), 377–83.
- Sudha Rani, N., Satyanarayana, A., and Bhaskaran, P.(2015). Coastal vulnerability assessment studies over India: a review. *Natural Hazards*, 77(1), 405–428.
- Suhaila, J., Deni, S. M., Zawiah Zin, W. A. N., & Jemain, A. A. (2010) Trends in Peninsular Malaysia rainfall data during the southwest monsoon and northeast monsoon seasons: 1975-2004. Sains Malaysiana, 39(4), 533–542.
- Tetuko, J. and Sumantyo, S.(2017) Assessment of Multi-Temporal Image Fusion for Remote Sensing Application. *International Journal on Advanced Science Engineering Information Technology*, 7(3), 778–784.
- Tonyes, S. G., Wasson, R. J., Munksgaard, N. C., Evans, K. G., Brinkman, R., and Williams, D. K.(2015)Sand dynamics as a tool for coastal erosion management: a case study in Darwin Harbour, Northern Territory, Australia. *Procedia Engineering*, 125, 220–228.
- Unit Perancang Ekonomi. (1985). National Coastal Erosion Study, 1th edition. 64pp.
- Vousdoukas, M. I., Almeida, L. P. M., and Ferreira, Ó.(2012)Beach erosion and recovery during consecutive storms at a steep-sloping, mesotidal beach. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 37(6), 583–593.